


**EMBARGOED UNTIL
FRIDAY, OCTOBER 7 AT
12:01 AM EDT**

For more information visit www.iom.edu/EHB

Essential Health Benefits

Balancing Coverage and Cost



The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010 (ACA) is intended to help uninsured Americans obtain health insurance. As part of this effort, private health insurance plans will be offered to low- and moderate-income individuals and small employers through state-based “purchasing exchanges,” often with financial help. To ensure a more consistent level of benefits, the ACA requires that certain insurance plans—including those participating in the state purchasing exchanges—cover a package of diagnostic, preventive, and therapeutic services and products that have been defined as “essential” by the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS).

This package—commonly referred to as a set of essential health benefits (EHB)—constitutes a minimum set of benefits that the plans must cover, but insurers may offer additional benefits. The EHB are intended to cover health care needs, to promote services that are medically effective, and to be affordable to purchasers.

The ACA stipulated that HHS will define what the EHB package should include, while states will oversee day-to-day running of the exchanges. To assist with this, HHS asked the Institute of Medicine (IOM) to recommend a process that would help HHS do two things: 1) define the benefits that should be in the EHB, and 2) update the benefits to take into account advances in science, gaps in access, and the impact of any benefit changes on cost. The IOM appointed a committee to meet this task. The charge of the committee specifically was *not* to decide what is covered in the EHB but rather to propose a set of criteria and methods that should be used in deciding what benefits are most important for coverage.

The EHB are intended to cover health care needs, to promote services that are medically effective, and to be affordable to purchasers.

Developing an Approach to Defining the EHB

In considering its task, the committee recognized two competing goals: to provide health insurance coverage for a wide range of health needs and to make it affordable. If it was not affordable, then many people would not be able to obtain it, even with government help, and this would conflict with the purpose of the ACA. Thus, the committee saw its primary task as finding the right balance between making coverage available for individuals to get the care they need at a cost they could afford. This balance will help ensure that an estimated 68 million people have access to care covered by the EHB.

One way to think about the EHB package is to compare HHS's task to going grocery shopping. One option is to go shopping, fill up your cart with the groceries you want, and then find out what it costs. The other option is to walk into store with a firm idea of what you can spend and to fill the cart carefully, with only enough food to fit within your budget. The committee recommends that HHS take the latter approach to developing the EHB package and to keep in mind what small employers and their employees can afford. Employers who offer insurance packages make such choices now.

Keeping the EHB affordable is necessary for consumers, employers, and taxpayers. To maximize the number of people with insurance, the committee proposes that HHS embrace a framework for the entire EHB package that would:

- consider the population's health needs as a whole;
- encourage better care by ensuring good science is used to inform practice decisions;
- emphasize the judicious use of resources; and
- carefully use economic tools to improve value and performance.

The ACA requires that the EHB include at least 10 general categories of health services and have benefits similar to those currently provided

by a typical employer. The 10 categories include:

- Ambulatory patient services
- Emergency services
- Hospitalization
- Maternity and newborn care
- Mental health and substance use disorder services, including behavioral health treatment
- Prescription drugs
- Rehabilitative and habilitative services and devices
- Laboratory services
- Preventive and wellness services and chronic disease management
- Pediatric services, including oral and vision care

The committee also identifies a set of criteria for considering the content of the whole EHB package and specific components within it, as well as methods for defining and updating the EHB (see Criteria). Current state insurance mandates—requirements that had previously been established by state law—should not automatically be included in the EHB package but reviewed in the same way as other potential benefits.

Encouraging Public Involvement

In both defining and updating the EHB package, the methods used by HHS should be highly visible and allow for current and future enrollees to help define priorities for coverage. As envisioned by the committee, the public deliberation process would enable individuals—working in small group meetings around the country—to participate in a prioritization process, where different elements of coverage—specific services, types of cost-sharing, degree of provider choice, approval requirements, etc.—are discussed and debated. Learning from these groups will help HHS understand potential enrollees' priorities when tradeoffs are necessary. A small number of these meetings would comple-

The committee saw its primary task as finding the right balance between making coverage available for individuals to get the care they need at a cost they could afford. This balance will help ensure that an estimated 68 million people have access to care covered by the EHB.

ment the process HHS would normally use for receiving public comment.

Ensuring Appropriate Care

Consumers, employers, insurers, care providers, and government have a shared responsibility for improving health and using resources wisely. Only medically necessary services should be covered, and decisions by insurers about what is “medically necessary” should depend on the circumstances of an individual case. Under the ACA, when patients are denied care by their insurer, they have the right to appeal to an external review by experts.

Promoting State-based Innovations

Some flexibility in defining the contents in the EHB will help encourage innovation at the state level. Proposed state-specific variations should be consistent with the ACA statute, abide by the selection criteria in this report, produce a benefits package that is equivalent in value to the EHB, and utilize meaningful public input.

Updating the EHB for Effectiveness and Sustainability

HHS should update the EHB package annually, beginning in 2016, to promote better health outcomes for both individuals and the broader population. The benefit package needs to be based

on credible evidence of effectiveness. A National Benefits Advisory Council, appointed through a nonpartisan process, should be established to offer external advice on updates, data requirements, and the research plan. The IOM committee recommends that HHS immediately begin developing a plan for identifying data needs and a research agenda that will support the EHB updating process. The ability of the states to provide consistent and usable information to HHS will be enhanced if data needs are outlined at the start.

In updating the benefits, HHS should consider both the cost of the current package and medical inflation. Without serious attention to rising health care costs across all sectors, the EHB will become unaffordable over time. Thus, HHS, working with others including the private sector, should develop a strategy to reduce the rate of growth in health care spending, bringing it in line with the rate of growth in the economy. This will help preserve what benefits are covered by the EHB package.

Conclusion

The goal of the ACA is to provide insurance coverage to more Americans. But that goal is threatened if we do not acknowledge that effective coverage requires compromise. Unless we are able to balance the cost with the breadth of benefits covered in the EHB, we may never achieve the health care coverage envisioned in the ACA. If the benefits are not affordable, fewer individuals will buy insurance. If accessing benefits is too difficult,



Committee on Defining and Revising an Essential Health Benefits Package for Qualified Health Plans

John R. Ball (Chair)
Former Executive Vice
President, American Society for
Clinical Pathology

Michael S. Abroe
Principal and Consulting
Actuary, Milliman, Inc.

Michael E. Chernew
Professor of Health Care Policy,
Harvard Medical School

Paul Fronstin
Director, Health Research &
Education Program, Employee
Benefit Research Institute

Robert S. Galvin
Chief Executive Officer, Equity
Healthcare, Blackstone Group

Marjorie Ginsburg
Executive Director, Center for
Healthcare Decisions

David S. Guzik
Senior Vice President for
Health Affairs, and President,
UF&Shands Health System,
University of Florida

Sam Ho
Executive Vice President and
Chief Medical Officer, United-
Healthcare

Christopher F. Koller
Health Insurance Commission-
er, State of Rhode Island

Elizabeth A. McGlynn
Director, Kaiser Permanente
Center for Effectiveness &
Safety Research

Amy B. Monahan
Associate Professor, University
of Minnesota Law School

Alan Nelson
Internist-Endocrinologist

Linda Randolph
President and Chief Executive
Officer, Developing Families
Center


James Sabin
Clinical Professor, Departments
of Psychiatry and Population
Health, Harvard Medical School,
and Director, Harvard Pilgrim
Health Care Ethics Program

John Santa
Director of Consumer Reports
Health Ratings Center,
Consumer Reports

Leonard D. Schaeffer
Judge Robert Maclay Widney
Chair and Professor, University
of Southern California

Joe V. Selby
Executive Director, Patient-
Centered Outcomes Research
Institute

Sandeep Wadhwa
Chief Medical Officer and Vice
President of Reimbursement
and Payer Markets, 3M Health
Information Systems

people will not get the care that they need. And if health care spending continues to rise so rapidly, the benefits covered under the EHBs will begin to erode, eventually resulting in minimal coverage for the people who need it most. The challenges are clear. But HHS has the opportunity to bring affordable and effective health insurance to millions more Americans. 

Study Staff

Cheryl Ulmer
Study Director

Shadia Bel Hamdounia
Research Associate

Cassandra L. Cacace
Research Assistant

Ashley McWilliams
Senior Program Assistant

Roger C. Herdman
Director, Board on Health Care
Services

Study Sponsor

Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation, U.S. Department
of Health and Human Services

INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE

OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMIES

Advising the nation • Improving health

500 Fifth Street, NW
Washington, DC 20001

TEL 202.334.2352

FAX 202.334.1412

www.iom.edu

The Institute of Medicine serves as adviser to the nation to improve health.

Established in 1970 under the charter of the National Academy of Sciences, the Institute of Medicine provides independent, objective, evidence-based advice to policy makers, health professionals, the private sector, and the public.

Copyright 2011 by the National Academy of Sciences. All rights reserved.